

## Erratum: Lohnas, Polyn & Kahana 2015

The following were errors of honest oversight made by the first author, none of which change the conclusions drawn from the paper.

### Simulation 3: Similarity thresholds

It is stated that the value of  $c_{thresh}$  (in Table 2,  $c_{thresh}^{target}$ ) is used as a lower bound of similarity between a retrieved item's context and the current context after the first posited target-list recall. However, during recall of the first target list (which was always the first studied list), this threshold was set to 0, meant to be more similar to free recall in which there is no lower bound. In addition, after the first posited target-list item was recalled,  $c_{thresh}$  was reset to the similarity between the current context state and the context of the final list item from the list before the target list. If the target list was the second presented list, and thus no list preceded it, after recall of the first posited target-list recall, the lower bound  $c_{thresh}$  was set to 0.

### Table 2

The following values were reported incorrectly.

- Simulation 1:  $\eta = .039$
- Simulation 2:  $\phi_d = .394, \eta = .041, \omega = 7.28, c_{thresh} = .194$
- Simulation 3:  $\gamma_{FC} = .567, \eta = .009, c_{thresh} = .431$
- Simulation 4:  $\eta = .035$